



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/661,756	09/12/2003	Ranapratap Lavu	RAYTL1700	8147
85603	7590	08/18/2009		
Eric A. Gifford (Raytheon Company)				
11770 E. Calle del Valle				
Tucson, AZ 85749				
EXAMINER				
THOMPSON, MICHAEL M				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
3629				
NOTIFICATION DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
08/18/2009		ELECTRONIC		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

egifford@giffordpatentlaw.com

shartway@giffordpatentlaw.com

shartway@system.foundationip.com

### Interview Summary

**Application No.**

10/661,756

**Applicant(s)**

LAVU ET AL.

**Examiner**

Michael M. Thompson

**Art Unit**

3629

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Michael M. Thompson.(3) Eric Gifford.(2) John Weiss.(4) John Michel.

Date of Interview: 07 August 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 2 and 19.

Identification of prior art discussed: Abrahams.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant discussed issues regarding 35 U.S.C. 101 and non-functional descriptive rejections in order to address overcoming the rejections of record. Applicant and inventor further discussed substantive differences between the prior art and the instant application and possible amended language in claims 1, 2 and 19.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Michael M. Thompson/  
Examiner, Art Unit 3629

/JOHN G. WEISS/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3629